- 1 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: I'd like to open up for questions
- 2 now.
- 3 Commissioner Lanni?
- Mr. Gardner, you might suspect that I 4 MR. LANNI:
- 5 would be asking you a question.
- 6 MR. GARDNER: That would be the first one, yes.
- 7 I'm sorry? MR. LANNI:
- 8 I assumed that you would be the first MR. GARDNER:
- 9 one to ask me a question.
- 10 MR. LANNI: Well good, it's good to assume things,
- sometimes you're correct when you assume, sometimes you're not. 11
- Just for the record, I would like to note a couple of 12
- 13 One, you referred to a number of instances that occurred
- 14 at MGM Grand. I think for the record in all fairness, in your
- written report you didn't delineate that as far as the timing. 15
- 16 Each and every occurrence that you talked about occurred well
- before I assumed my responsibilities. 17
- 18 MR. GARDNER: That is absolutely correct.
- MR. LANNI: I know it is absolutely correct. 19
- 20 need you to confirm that. I'm saying that as of June 1st, 1995,
- 21 is the date that I assumed those responsibilities. I think Mr.
- 22 Wilhelm will confirm to you that I am not anti-union, that
- shortly after I joined the company we entered into a neutrality 23
- 24 agreement, signed an agreement which we think is excellent for
- 25 our employees, for our company, and I think John agrees that it's
- 26 for his employees who are the same employees, it's excellent for
- 27 them.

- I have a very positive working relationship with Mr.
- 2 Wilhelm's union, with the operating engineers and other unions
- 3 and other properties of ours, so I want the record to state that
- 4 correctly.
- 5 MR. GARDNER: Fine. I have no reason to doubt any of
- 6 that.
- 7 MR. LANNI: Fine. When it comes to the issue of the
- 8 sidewalks that's an issue that preceded me also. I would like to
- 9 discuss that in greater length, but in the written documentation
- 10 that you provided each of the Commissioners you indicate this
- 11 potential litigation, further litigation in that particular
- 12 matter so I think my counsel if he were next to me would suggest
- 13 that I not respond to that. At the appropriate time and it may
- 14 be a different forum I would like to do that.
- On the issue of children and theme parks and each of
- 16 these factors; I happen to be a long time believer that Las Vegas
- 17 from a business standpoint should not have geared itself towards
- 18 directing its marketing towards families. I'm not, I'm not
- 19 opposed to families, I'm a family man myself, I have two
- 20 children.
- 21 My view is very simple on this issue and I apologize
- 22 taking all the time of the other Commissioners and other people
- 23 in this room because I honestly think that much of what you've
- 24 raised didn't deal with the particular topic that you were here
- 25 to discuss, but that is your prerogative and I feel compelled to
- 26 respond to it.
- When it comes to the children's aspect I think
- 28 something has really changed in our society right now. If you

- take a look at what's different than when I was child and I have 1
- 2 memory long enough to allow me to remember some of that, is that
- families didn't travel nearly as much together. 3 Too many
- 4 instances now, it's impossible for most people in this country to
- 5 have a single member of a two parent family not working.
- 6 was growing, up a single person of the two adults could work and
- have enough money to afford the family to have the opportunity 7
- 8 but the second individual didn't need a position for pay because
- 9 it's obviously if ones a homemaker that is position it's just not
- 10 a position for pay.
- changed is that people are working for 11 What is
- 12 instance, many more two family adults are working. They have
- 13 less time to spend with their children and as a result of that I
- 14 think they are traveling now more with their children, at every
- level the low, the middle, and the very high end of the income 15
- 16 strata.
- 17 And I think Las Vegas was responding more to that
- 18 than Las Vegas was in creating attractions to bring children in
- 19 place.
- 20 Now I can tell you specifically where you stand today
- 21 or sit today was formerly part of the theme park at MGM Grand.
- 22 The theme park at MGM Grand has been reduced to approximately
- 23 one-half of its original size. It was a very bad business
- 24 decision in the first place. A decision I didn't make, that's
- 25 easy for me to say.
- 26 Secondly, it now operates only during the summer and
- on certain weekends during the Easter/spring break holidays. 27 And
- 28 I suspect that will be changing as time moves forward.

- 1 Where you are sitting right now is a former portion
- 2 of that theme park. And we spent \$92 million on this 190,000
- 3 square foot facility which does not necessarily attract children
- 4 as I think you might be willing to accept.
- 5 Secondly we took a portion of it and developed six
- 6 acres of pools and spa, again not necessarily attractive to
- 7 children.
- 8 So you take a look at the arcade that you mentioned,
- 9 you might have noted that the original arcade that you were
- 10 talking about here in this hotel was four times the size of the
- 11 current arcade. We have downsized it to be one-quarter of that
- 12 size, it's an amenity. We have brought in a Studio 54 nightclub,
- 13 again I think clearly would not be geared to your tourist
- 14 children.
- So I happen to agree with you that that's not really
- 16 the future or even the present for Las Vegas. However, I think
- 17 you should have clearly noted that was under prior management in
- 18 which these things were brought about.
- 19 Now I think that was disingenuous of you to do that.
- 20 Another point you brought out in your written
- 21 testimony and I must, I must respond to this because it becomes
- 22 part of the permanent record and I'm obviously quite bothered by
- 23 it.
- 24 You refer to an article, October 28th, 1998, in
- 25 Common Cause, one of my close personal friendly publications, in
- 26 which among other things they indicated that, if I may read from
- 27 your written testimony, "Speaker Gingrich named two members of
- 28 the Gambling Commission, one MGM Grand's Terrence Lanni was

- 1 personally approved by McGraws Resorts chair Steve Wynn,"
- 2 according to the Las Vegas Sun.
- Now I can tell you I have a lot of respect for Mr.
- 4 Steve Wynn, I can't say necessarily he has a lot of respect for
- 5 me nor I can say that we have a lot things we agree upon but one
- 6 thing I can guarantee we will agree upon, he did not support my
- 7 nomination to this particular Commission. You go on to conclude
- 8 which, again, quoting the Las Vegas Sun, "that the National
- 9 Republican Congressional Committee received it's largest gambling
- 10 industry contribution ever, a \$150,000.00 soft money contribution
- 11 from Mirage Resorts just shortly after he had talked Speaker
- 12 Gingrich into naming me for this position."
- Now I happen to know how that funding came about, and
- 14 again it's probably best to investigate some of these before you
- 15 decide to invest in reporting an article from this particular
- 16 newspaper.
- 17 Let me tell you what happened. If you go back to
- 18 1996 there was a gubernatorial campaign in the state of New
- 19 Jersey, Christine Todd Whitman (ph) was a reasonably tight
- 20 election at that particular time. Mr. Wynn was very bothered by
- 21 the fact that President Clinton went into that state to campaign
- 22 for her democratic opponent and during a presentation happened to
- 23 mention that he thought a federal excise tax on gaming might be a
- 24 very interesting approach to the government in Washington, D.C.
- 25 Mr. Wynn was incensed by that and very bothered by it.
- 26 Logically, without spending a lot of time on excise taxes, I
- 27 don't know how you pass on an excise in a gaming casino unless
- 28 you change the odds of the game because that is a consumer tax.

- He was very bothered by that and shared it with a number of 1
- 2 industry leaders that he was very bothered with the President of
- the United States, a democrat had suggested this and it might 3
- 4 well be desirable from his standpoint that his company through a
- legal contribution make a contribution to the Republican party. 5
- 6 To tie these two events together, the first being a
- canard and the second time the aspect, the appointment being the 7
- 8 canard and the aspect of having the \$150,000.00 donation as a
- 9 payoff was wrong obviously for the Las Vegas Sun to report it. I
- 10 think it was abysmal for you to include it in your report.
- You obviously had the prerogative to do that. 11
- 12 have the prerogative to present your case as you see it and I
- 13 certainly respect that right, but I think you really literally
- need to check the facts. 14
- 15 I would end in one last point on this as it reminds
- 16 me and I never met you before I don't think but I know that I had
- a great respect for the late Hubert Humphrey, Vice President of 17
- 18 the United States. He was a member of the United States Senate
- and at one point there was a person who came before one of his 19
- 20 meetings and made some outrageous statements and Hubert Humphrey
- 21 said to that individual and I think it fits you quite frankly,
- sir, is that the right to be heard does not necessarily include 22
- 23 the right to be taken seriously.
- Mr. Lanni, first of all I have been 24 MR. GARDNER:
- in enough events that have hit the newspaper to 25 involved
- 26 understand that people on the inside frequently know a lot more
- 27 and a lot better than what the newspapers report. And I have no

- 1 reason to doubt anything that you have said here about those
- 2 incidents.
- And I apologize to you if in fact those have, that
- 4 the quoting newspaper articles that I have disturbed you. I had
- 5 at no time mentioned anybody's name in the MGM or implied that
- 6 anyone in particular was responsible for anything before their
- 7 time. It's my understanding that there is now. I have not tried
- 8 to be personal and I think I'm owed an apology at this point.
- 9 It's my understanding that there is no question posed
- 10 to me and the panel may proceed.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Well, thank you.
- 12 (Laughter.)
- 13 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Commissioner Wilhelm.
- 14 MR. WILHELM: Two comments and a question, the first,
- 15 well I certainly wouldn't attempt to delve into what happens in
- 16 the Republican party or --
- 17 (Laughter.)
- 18 MR. WILHELM: -- or any other party for that matter,
- 19 with respect to Mr. Lanni's comments about his relationship with
- 20 our union and his employees represented by our union, that's
- 21 absolutely correct. And more over, there's not a shadow of doubt
- 22 in my mind that the arrest on the sidewalks in May of 1994 in
- 23 which you refer, Mr. Gardner, would never had happened if Mr.
- 24 Lanni had at that time been the chairman of this company. At the
- 25 same time, which he was not then as he said. There's no question
- 26 that none of that would have happened.
- 27 Having grown up in Virginia and having a family come
- 28 from southwest Virginia I would suggest to you, Mr. Gardner, not

- a whole lot of difference between company towns whether they're 1
- 2 in gambling or in other industries, you know, the coal miners
- union has a tough time using the sidewalks in southwest Virginia, 3
- 4 too.
- 5 I do, however, want to say publically, Mr. Gardner,
- 6 that your memorandum with respect your defense of the rights of
- 7 free speech in Las Vegas I think it took a great deal courage at
- 8 that time and I've never had a chance to say that publically and
- 9 I wish to say it.
- 10 MR. GARDNER: Thank you.
- 11 When you were then the deputy attorney MR. WILHELM:
- general. And I don't know if that memorandum led in part to the 12
- 13 end of your employment, but personally I suspect it probably did.
- 14 I think it took a lot of courage and all of us in our union
- 15 remember that.
- 16 With respect to Mr. O'Reilly's brief summary of the
- kinds of extremely detailed and in any other setting intrusive 17
- 18 information that is demanded of gaming licensees, in case my
- fellow Commissioners are interested in that, the forms that are 19
- 20 used as the basis for that are in the back of this booklet that
- 21 we were given yesterday.
- 22 Mr. Smith didn't mention that in addition to casino
- executives and employees and vendors that both in New Jersey and 23
- 24 Nevada union representatives in this industry are likewise
- 25 subject to a form of supervision and investigation by the control
- 26 board and the Commissions in their respective states which I
- 27 believe to be entirely appropriate by the way.

- 1 So because of that Mr. Lanni and myself have each
- 2 filled out different versions of these forms and the rest of you,
- however, might be interested in them, they are, they would be 3
- 4 regarded as Mr. O'Reilly says in any other setting as extremely
- 5 intrusive, I personally think they are quite appropriate.
- 6 The question I have is this and it's a question of
- 7 DuCharme. We heard testimony yesterday from the NCAA
- 8 representative who actually arrived in and left Nevada in one
- 9 That's an inside joke about UNLV.
- 10 We heard testimony from him about the recent point
- shaving scandal at Arizona State University and I wonder if you 11
- could briefly describe to us the way in which that scandal was 12
- discovered? 13
- 14 MR. DuCHARME: Well actually the posted odds were
- taking some wild swings on Saturday morning and betters were 15
- 16 chasing these odds even though they were being skewed ten points
- the other way. I received a call at home from one of the book 17
- 18 operators and then a follow-up called from a sports wagerer, that
- said something is going on here. This game is not right. 19
- 20 Later that morning I believe Roxie Roxbourgh who
- 21 disseminates line information to almost all the casinos called
- 22 the league commission, we later called the FBI and investigation
- But it was because one our local bookmakers and book 23 and sued.
- 24 operators noticed this unusual betting occurrence and reported
- 25 it.
- 26 MR. WILHELM: So at least in that one circumstance
- 27 it's fortunate that one small corner of the huge world of sports
- 28 betting is both legal and regulated?

- 1 MR. DuCHARME: That's correct.
- 2 MR. WILHELM: Thank you.
- CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Commissioner McCarthy. 3
- MR. McCARTHY: Mr. Smith, it's been reported that the 4
- 5 New Jersey Regulatory Commission --
- 6 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: I need you, Leo, could I get you
- 7 to pull that up? Thanks.
- 8 It's been reported that the New Jersey MR. McCARTHY:
- 9 Regulatory Commission promulgated regulations which would allow
- 10 any casinos operating in your state to allow credit cards to be
- inserted into slot machines and perhaps other kinds of gambling 11
- 12 machines.
- 13 Could you please tell us where that idea originated
- 14 and what the rationale of your Commission was? And I ask the
- question with the background of looking at credit practices and 15
- 16 procedures and trying to understand whether there is any
- empirically demonstrable tie to some pathological gamblers by 17
- 18 simply easing the avenues credit that they might use even when
- 19 they're chasing their losses.
- 20 MR. SMITH: Yeah, let me briefly explain what that
- 21 It was not the use of credit cards in the slot machines,
- 22 It was a system developed by I believe it was COMDATA who
- had been mentioned earlier, where a person at a gaming table 23
- 24 rather than leaving the table and going to an ATM machine on the
- 25 casino floor or right outside the casino, could present his
- 26 credit card at the table. The system would, would check the
- 27 credit, be certain that it was a valid card, and issue the credit
- 28 at the table.

- 1 MR. McCARTHY: I'm given to understand that no
- 2 operating casinos in New Jersey have taken advantage, have chosen
- 3 to exercise the right that your state agency would give them. Is
- 4 that correct?
- 5 MR. SMITH: No, that is not correct.
- 6 MR. McCARTHY: Can you tell us what the experience
- 7 has been so far?
- 8 MR. SMITH: Yeah, I can tell you the experience as of
- 9 the time that I left the Commission and that was the beginning of
- 10 September. We having had a public issue come from that
- 11 regulation, we determined that we would track the amount of play
- 12 on these, on these systems so that we would be able to tell
- 13 whether or not there were any abuses, whether or not there were
- 14 any complaints, et cetera.
- And the tracking showed to us what we believed, what
- 16 the Commission believed when we passed the regulation, that it
- 17 would simply be a convenience to the customer.
- 18 The amount of money obtained through that system was
- 19 very, very small; I think less than one percent when compared to
- 20 the credit issued by casinos themselves and that doesn't even
- 21 compare it to the credit taken on third party accounts.
- 22 So it was very limited use. It didn't, there did not
- 23 appear to be any abuses and it seemed to confirm to me that our
- 24 reason for passing it, customer convenience, was in fact a valid
- 25 reason.
- MR. McCARTHY: Thank you. One final brief question.
- 27 We know that you keep good records on the amount of credit
- 28 extended, house credit extended by New Jersey gambling

- 1 facilities. Do you also track the amount of credit extended
- 2 through credit card machines that are on the floors, not a part
- 3 of house credit, but the machines are --
- 4 MR. SMITH: You mean ATM machines?
- 5 MR. McCARTHY: Correct.
- 6 MR. SMITH: Yeah, I, I, no, we do not. They're, to
- 7 my knowledge there is no way to track that, no effective way.
- 8 MR. McCARTHY: You've attempted to track that and --
- 9 MR. SMITH: No, we --
- 10 MR. McCARTHY: -- and find it's not possible?
- 11 MR. SMITH: I cannot say that we have attempted to
- 12 track that.
- MR. McCARTHY: Thank you.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Commissioner Bible.
- 15 MR. BIBLE: I just have a brief comment is that the
- 16 one thing that strikes me is that today is our last day of public
- 17 hearings and we have a regulatory panel before us and I think a
- 18 testament to the effectiveness of the regulation is the topic we
- 19 have not talked about during our hearings. We've had hearings
- 20 now for some 18 months and we really have not talked about
- 21 organized crime.
- Our predecessor Commission some 25 years ago put out
- 23 volumes and volumes in detail about organized crime and the
- 24 impact of organized crime on gambling. We have not had any
- 25 discussion of any detail on that particular testament related to
- 26 the effectiveness --
- DR. DOBSON: Madame Chairman?
- 28 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Commissioner Dobson.

NEAL R. GROSS

- I would like to make a statement here at 1 DR. DOBSON:
- 2 the end of the day about organized crime in Las Vegas. Somebody
- has stolen my name tag--3
- 4 (Laughter.)
- 5 DR. DOBSON: -- and I resent it very deeply.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Well, Commissioner Dobson, --
- 7 It wasn't organized, there was probably a MR. BIBLE:
- \$25.00 bounty on it. 8
- 9 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Commissioner Dobson, we, the rest
- 10 of Commission was a little concerned that your name tag was the
- only one worth taking as a souvenir. 11
- 12 MR. BIBLE: We all know who you are here in town.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: And that was the best souvenir
- 14 and nobody cared to have the rest of ours.
- DR. DOBSON: Well I want it back. 15
- 16 (Laughter.)
- 17 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: I want to thank this particular
- 18 panel. Thank you Mr. Gardner.
- 19 MR. GARDNER: Thank you.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON JAMES: Thank you for your testimony, Mr.
- 21 O'Reilly, Mr. DuCharme, Mr. Smith. And you will always have the
- 22 distinction of being the last panel and in some measure we
- believe one of the most important panels in terms of looking at 23
- 24 this issue and putting it in the proper context and understanding
- 25 the importance of regulation as we discuss this very important
- 26 issue.
- 27 Any final comments from any Commissioners?
- 28 (No response.)

NEAL R. GROSS